arXiv:1105.0727v1 [math.PR] 4 May 2011

A weighted central limit theorem under sublinear expectations

Defei Zhang*

School of Mathematics, Shandong University, Jinan 250100, China

Abstract

In this paper, we investigate a central limit theorem for weighted sums of independent random variables under sublinear expectations. It is turned out that our results are natural extensions of the results obtained by Peng and Li and Shi.

Key words. central limit theorem, G-distributed, sublinear expectation, weighted sums of independent random variables
MSC(2000) 60F05

1 Introduction

Many useful linear statistics based on a random sample are weighted sums of i.i.d. random variables, for example, least-squares estimators, nonparametric regression function estimators and jackknife estimates, etc. In this respect, studies of limit theorem for these weighted sums have demonstrated significant progress in probability theory with applications in mathematical statistics, see [4] et al.

In 2006, motivated by measures of risk, super-hedge pricing and model uncertainty in finance, Peng (see [12], [13]) introduced the notion of independent and identically distributed random variables under sublinear expectations. He also obtained the law of large numbers and a new central limit theorem in the framework of sublinear expectation space in [14]. The notions of G-distributed, G-Brownian motion and G-expectation were introduced by Peng in [15]-[17]. These notions have very rich and interesting new structures which nontrivially generalize the classical ones. Since then, many results have been obtained in [2-9], [11] and [18-21], etc.

However, to our knowledge, there are only few research results about central limit theorem under sublinear expectations. Up to now, Hu and Zhang [8] obtained the central limit theorem for capacities induced by sublinear expectations. A central limit theorem without the requirement of identical distribution was obtained by Li and Shi in [10]. In this paper, we obtain a central limit theorem for weighted sums of independent random variables under sublinear expectations. It is turned out that our results are natural extensions of the results obtained by Peng [14] and Li and Shi [10].

---

*Corresponding author. E-mail: zhdefei@163.com.

This paper is organized as follows: in Section 2, we recall some notions and propositions under sublinear expectations that are useful in this paper. In Section 3, we give our main result including the proof.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we recall some basic notions such as sublinear expectation, identical distribution, independence and G-distributed (see Peng [12]-[17]), et al.

For a given positive integer n, we denote by $\langle x, y\rangle$ the scalar product of $x, y \in R^n$ and by $|x| = \langle x, x\rangle^{1/2}$ the Euclidean norm of x. We denote by $S(n)$ the collection of $n \times n$ symmetric matrices.

Let Ω be a given set and let $\mathcal{H}$ be a linear space of real-valued functions defined on Ω such that if $X_1, \cdots, X_n \in \mathcal{H}$, then $\varphi(X_1, \cdots, X_n) \in \mathcal{H}$ for each $\varphi \in C_{l,lip}(R^n)$, where $C_{l,lip}(R^n)$ denotes the linear space of (local Lipschitz) functions φ satisfying

$$|\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)| \leq C(1 + |x|^m + |y|^m)|x - y|, \forall x, y \in R^n,$$

for some constants $C > 0; m \in N$ depending on φ. $\mathcal{H}$ is considered as a space of random variables. In this case $X = (X_1, \cdots, X_n)$ is called an n-dimensional vector, denoted by $X \in \mathcal{H}^n$. we also denote
• $C_{b,lip}(R^n)$: the space of bounded and Lipschitz continuous functions.

Definition 2.1. A nonlinear expectation $\hat{\mathbb{E}}$ on $\mathcal{H}$ is a functional $\hat{\mathbb{E}} : \mathcal{H} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the following properties: for all X, $Y \in \mathcal{H}$, we have
(a) Monotonicity: If $X \geq Y$ then $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[X] \geq \hat{\mathbb{E}}[Y]$.
(b) Constant preserving: $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[c] = c$.
The triple $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \hat{\mathbb{E}})$ is called a nonlinear expectation space (compare with a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$). We are mainly concerned with sublinear expectation where the expectation $\hat{\mathbb{E}}$ satisfies also
(c) Sub-additivity: $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[X] - \hat{\mathbb{E}}[Y] \leq \hat{\mathbb{E}}[X - Y]$.
(d)Positive homogeneity: $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\lambda X] = \lambda\hat{\mathbb{E}}[X]$, $\forall \lambda \geq 0$.
If only (c) and (d) are satisfied, $\hat{\mathbb{E}}$ is called a sublinear functional.

Let $X = (X_1, \cdots, X_n)$ be a given n-dimensional random vector on a sublinear expectation space $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \hat{\mathbb{E}})$. We define a functional on $C_{l,lip}(R^n)$ by

$$\hat{\mathbb{F}}_X[\varphi] := \hat{\mathbb{E}}[\varphi(X)] : \varphi \in C_{l,lip}(R^n) \to R.$$

$\hat{\mathbb{F}}_X$ is called the distribution of X.

Definition 2.2. Let X_1 and X_2 be two n-dimensional random vectors defined respectively in sublinear expectation spaces $(\Omega_1, \mathcal{H}_1, \hat{\mathbb{E}}_1)$ and $(\Omega_2, \mathcal{H}_2, \hat{\mathbb{E}}_2)$. They are called identically distributed, denoted by $X_1 \overset{d}{=} X_2$, if

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}_1[\varphi(X_1)] = \hat{\mathbb{E}}_2[\varphi(X_2)], \forall \varphi \in C_{l,lip}(R^n).$$

If the distribution $\hat{\mathbb{F}}_X$ of $X \in \mathcal{H}$ is not a linear expectation, then X is said to have distributional uncertainty. The distribution of X has the following four typical parameters:

$$\bar{\mu} := \hat{\mathbb{E}}[X]; \ \underline{\mu} := -\hat{\mathbb{E}}[-X]; \ \bar{\sigma}^2 := \hat{\mathbb{E}}[X^2]; \ \underline{\sigma}^2 := -\hat{\mathbb{E}}[-X^2].$$

The intervals $[\underline{\mu}, \bar{\mu}]$ and $[\underline{\sigma}^2, \bar{\sigma}^2]$ characterize the mean-uncertainty and the variance-uncertainty of X respectively.

Definition 2.3. In a sublinear expectation space $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \hat{\mathbb{E}})$, a random vector $Y \in \mathcal{H}^n$ is said to be independent from another random vector $X \in \mathcal{H}^m$ under $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\cdot]$ if for each test function $\varphi \in C_{l,lip}(R^{m+n})$, we have

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\varphi(X,Y)] = \hat{\mathbb{E}}[\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\varphi(x,Y)]_{x=X}].$$

It is important to note that under sublinear expectation the condition "Y is independent from X" does not imply automatically that "X is independent from Y".

Definition 2.4 (maximal distribution). A d-dimensional random vector $\eta = (\eta_1, \cdots, \eta_d)$ on a sublinear expectation space $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \hat{\mathbb{E}})$ is called maximal distribution if there exists a bounded, closed and convex subset $\Gamma \subset R^d$ such that

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\varphi(\eta)] = \max_{y \in \Gamma} \varphi(y), \varphi \in C_{l,lip}(R^d).$$

It is easy to check that this maximal distributed random vector η satisfies

$$a\eta + b\bar{\eta} \overset{d}{=} (a+b)\eta, \quad \forall a, b \geq 0,$$

where $\bar{\eta}$ is an independent copy of η, namely, $\bar{\eta} \overset{d}{=} \eta$ and $\bar{\eta}$ is independent from η.

Definition 2.5 (G-normal distribution). A d-dimensional random vector $X = (X_1, \cdots, X_d)^T$ on a sublinear expectation space $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \hat{\mathbb{E}})$ is called G-normal distribution if

$$aX + b\bar{X} \overset{d}{=} \sqrt{a^2 + b^2} X, \quad \forall a, b \geq 0,$$

where $\bar{X}$ is an independent copy of X.

Given a pair of d-dimensional random vectors(X, Y) in a sublinear expectation space$(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \hat{\mathbb{E}})$, Peng defined a function

$$G(P, A) := \hat{\mathbb{E}}[\frac{1}{2}\langle AX, X\rangle + \langle P, Y\rangle], \quad (P, A) \in R^d \times S(d). \tag{2.1}$$

It is easy to check that $G : R^d \times S(d) \to R$ is a sublinear function monotonic in $A \in S(d)$ in the following sense: for each $P, \bar{P} \in R^d$ and $A, \bar{A} \in S(d)$

$$\begin{cases} G(P + \bar{P}, A + \bar{A}) \leq G(P, A) + G(\bar{P}, \bar{A}), \\ G(\lambda P, \lambda A) = \lambda G(P, A), \forall \lambda \geq 0, \\ G(P, A) \geq G(p, \bar{A}), if A \geq \bar{A}. \end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

Clearly, G is also a continuous function.

Proposition 2.1 (see Peng [17]). Let $G : R^d \times S(d) \to R$ be a given sublinear and continuous function, monotonic in $A \in S(d)$ in the sense of (2.2). Then there exists a G-normal distributed d-dimensional random vector X and a maximal distributed d-dimensional random vector η on some sublinear expectation space $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \hat{\mathbb{E}})$ satisfying (2.1) and

$$(aX + b\bar{X}, a^2\eta + b^2\bar{\eta}) \overset{d}{=} (\sqrt{a^2 + b^2} X, (a^2 + b^2)\eta), \forall a, b \geq 0, \tag{2.3}$$

where $(\bar{X}, \bar{\eta})$ is an independent copy of (X, η).

Definition 2.6 (G-distributed). The pair (X, η) satisfying (2.3) is called G-distributed.

Proposition 2.2 (see Peng [17]). For the pair (X, η) satisfying (2.3) and a function $\varphi \in C_{l,lip}(R^d \times R^d)$, then

$$u(t,x,y) := \hat{\mathbb{E}}[\varphi(x + \sqrt{X}, y + t\eta)], \quad (t,x,y) \in [0,\infty) \times R^d \times R^d$$

is the unique viscosity solution of the PDE

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - G(D_y u, D_x^2 u) = 0, \\ u|_{t=0} = \varphi, \end{cases} \tag{2.4}$$

where $G : R^d \times S(d) \to R$ is defined by (2.1) and $D_y u = (\partial_{y_i} u)_{i=1}^d,\ D^2 u = (\partial^2_{x_i x_j} u)_{i,j=1}^d$.

3 Main results

In this section, we give our main result and proof.

Theorem 3.1. Let $\{(X_i, Y_i)\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of $R^d \times R^d$- valued random vectors on a sublinear expectation space $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \hat{\mathbb{E}})$ satisfying the following conditions:
(1) (X_{i+1}, Y_{i+1}) is independent from $\{(X_1, Y_1), \cdots, (X_i, Y_i)\}$ for each $i = 1, 2, \cdots$;
(2) $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[X_i] = \hat{\mathbb{E}}[-X_i] = 0,\ \hat{\mathbb{E}}[X_i^2] = \bar{\sigma}_i^2,\ -\hat{\mathbb{E}}[-X_i^2] = \underline{\sigma}_i^2$, where $0 \leq \underline{\sigma}_i \leq \bar{\sigma}_i < \infty$;
(3) $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[Y_i] = \bar{\mu}_i,\ -\hat{\mathbb{E}}[-Y_i] = \underline{\mu}_i,\ \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|X_i|^{2+\alpha}] + \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|Y_i|^{2+\alpha}] < \infty$ for every $\alpha \in (0,1)$.
(4) Let $\{w_i, i \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of reals satisfying

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\sum\limits_{i=0}^{n-1} w_{i+1}^{2+\alpha}}{W_n^{1+\alpha/2}} = 0,$$

where $W_n = \sum\limits_{i=1}^n w_i^2$.
(5) There are constants $\bar{\mu} \in R^d, \underline{\mu} \in R^d, \bar{\sigma} \in (R^+)^d, \underline{\sigma} \in (R^+)^d$ such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{w_{i+1}^2}{W_n} (|\bar{\sigma}_{i+1}^2 - \bar{\sigma}^2| + |\underline{\sigma}^2 - \underline{\sigma}_{i+1}^2|) = 0,$$

and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{w_{i+1}^2}{W_n} (|\bar{\mu}_{i+1} - \bar{\mu}| + |\underline{\mu} - \underline{\mu}_{i+1}|) = 0.$$

Then for every test function $\varphi \in C_{b,lip}(R^d)$, we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \hat{\mathbb{E}}[\varphi(\bar{S}_n)] = \hat{\mathbb{E}}[\varphi(X + \eta)],$$

where $\{\bar{S}_n\}$ is defined by

$$\bar{S}_n := \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{w_i X_i}{\sqrt{W_n}} + \frac{w_i^2 Y_i}{W_n}\right),$$

and the pair (X, η) is G-distributed. The corresponding sublinear function $G : R^d \times S(d) \to R$ is defined by

$$G(P, A) := \frac{1}{2}(\langle A^+ \bar{\sigma}, \bar{\sigma}\rangle - \langle A^- \underline{\sigma}, \underline{\sigma}\rangle) + \langle P^+, \bar{\mu}\rangle - \langle P^-, \underline{\mu}\rangle, \quad P \in R^d, A \in S(d),$$

where $A^+ := \max(A, \mathbf{0}), A^- := \max(-A, \mathbf{0})$.

Proof. For a small but fixed $h > 0$. Let V be the unique viscosity solution of

$$\partial_t V + G(D_x V, D_x^2 V) = 0,\ (t, x) \in [0, 1 + h) \times R^d,\ V|_{t=1+h} = \varphi. \tag{3.1}$$

From Proposition 2.2, we have

$$V(t, x) = \hat{\mathbb{E}}[\varphi(x + \sqrt{1 + h - t}X + (1 + h - t)\eta)],$$

thus

$$V(h, 0) = \hat{\mathbb{E}}[\varphi(X + \eta)], \quad V(1 + h, x) = \varphi(x).$$

Because

$$\begin{aligned} |\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\varphi(\bar{S_n})] - \hat{\mathbb{E}}[\varphi(X + \eta)]| &= |\hat{\mathbb{E}}[V(1 + h, \bar{S}_n) - V(h, 0)]| \\ &= |\hat{\mathbb{E}}[V(1 + h, \bar{S}_n)] - \hat{\mathbb{E}}[V(1, \bar{S}_n)] \\ &+ \hat{\mathbb{E}}[V(1, \bar{S}_n)] - V(0, 0) + V(0, 0) - V(h, 0)]| \\ &\le |\hat{\mathbb{E}}[V(1 + h, \bar{S}_n)] - \hat{\mathbb{E}}[V(1, \bar{S}_n)]| \\ &+ |V(0, 0) - V(h, 0)| + |\hat{\mathbb{E}}[V(1, \bar{S}_n)] - V(0, 0)|, \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\hat{\mathbb{E}}[V(1, \bar{S}_n)] - \hat{\mathbb{E}}[V(1 + h, \bar{S}_n)]| &= |\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\varphi(\bar{S}_n)] - \hat{\mathbb{E}}[\varphi(\bar{S}_n + \sqrt{h}X + h\eta)]| \\ &\le C_1(\sqrt{h}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|X|] + h\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|\eta|]), \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |V(0, 0) - V(h, 0)| &= |\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\varphi(\sqrt{1 + h}X + (1 + h)\eta)] - \hat{\mathbb{E}}[\varphi(X + \eta)]| \\ &\le C_2((\sqrt{1 + h} - 1)\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|X|] + h\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|\eta|]). \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Next, let's compute the bound of $|V(1, \bar{S}_n) - V(0, 0)|$, we set $\bar{S}_0 = 0, \delta_0 = 0, \delta_i = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{i} w_j^2}{W_n}, 1 \le i \le n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} V(1, \bar{S}_n) - V(0, 0) &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \{V(\delta_{i+1}, \bar{S}_{i+1}) - V(\delta_i, \bar{S}_i)\} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \{[V(\delta_{i+1}, \bar{S}_{i+1}) - V(\delta_i, \bar{S}_{i+1})] + [V(\delta_i, \bar{S}_{i+1}) - V(\delta_i, \bar{S}_i)]\} \\ &:= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \{A_i + B_i\}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

where

$$A_i = \frac{w_{i+1}^2}{W_n} \partial_t V(\delta_i, \bar{S}_i) + \frac{1}{2} \langle D^2 V(\delta_i, \bar{S}_i) X_{i+1}, X_{i+1} \rangle \frac{w_{i+1}^2}{W_n} + \langle DV(\delta_i, \bar{S}_i), X_{i+1} \frac{w_{i+1}}{\sqrt{W_n}} + \frac{w_{i+1}^2}{W_n} Y_{i+1} \rangle,$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_i = {} & \frac{w_{i+1}^2}{W_n}\int_0^1 [\partial_t V(\delta_i + \beta\frac{w_{i+1}^2}{W_n}, \bar{S}_{i+1}) - \partial_t V(\delta_i, \bar{S}_{i+1})] d\beta \\
& + [\partial_t V(\delta_i, \bar{S}_{i+1}) - \partial_t V(\delta_i, \bar{S}_i)]\frac{w_{i+1}^2}{W_n} \\
& + \langle D^2 V(\delta_i, \bar{S}_i)\frac{w_{i+1}}{\sqrt{W_n}} X_{i+1}, \frac{w_{i+1}^2}{W_n} Y_{i+1}\rangle + \frac{1}{2}\langle D^2 V(\delta_i, \bar{S}_i)\frac{w_{i+1}^2}{W_n} Y_{i+1}, \frac{w_{i+1}^2}{W_n} Y_{i+1}\rangle \\
& + \int_0^1\int_0^1 \langle C^i_{\beta r}(X_{i+1}\frac{w_{i+1}}{\sqrt{W_n}} + Y_{i+1}\frac{w_{i+1}^2}{W_n}), X_{i+1}\frac{w_{i+1}}{\sqrt{W_n}} + Y_{i+1}\frac{w_{i+1}^2}{W_n})\rangle r d\beta dr
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.6}
$$

with

$$
C^i_{\beta r} = D^2 V(\delta_i, \bar{S}_i + r\beta(X_{i+1}\frac{w_{i+1}}{\sqrt{W_n}} + Y_{i+1}\frac{w_{i+1}^2}{W_n})) - D^2 V(\delta_i, \bar{S}_i).
$$

We note that

$$
\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\langle DV(\delta_i, \bar{S}_i), X_{i+1}\frac{w_{i+1}}{\sqrt{W_n}}\rangle] = \hat{\mathbb{E}}[\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\langle \theta, X_{i+1}\frac{w_{i+1}}{\sqrt{W_n}}\rangle]_{\theta = DV(\delta_i, \bar{S}_i)}] = 0,
$$

then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{\mathbb{E}}[A_i] &= \hat{\mathbb{E}}[\frac{w_{i+1}^2}{W_n}\partial_t V(\delta_i, \bar{S}_i) + \frac{1}{2}\langle D^2 V(\delta_i, \bar{S}_i) X_{i+1}, X_{i+1}\rangle\frac{w_{i+1}^2}{W_n} + \langle DV(\delta_i, \bar{S}_i), \frac{w_{i+1}^2}{W_n} Y_{i+1}\rangle] \\
&\le \frac{1}{2}\frac{w_{i+1}^2}{W_n}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[(D^2 V(\delta_i, \bar{S}_i))^+(\bar{\sigma}_{i+1}^2 - \bar{\sigma}^2) + (D^2 V(\delta_i, \bar{S}_i))^-(\underline{\sigma}^2 - \underline{\sigma}_{i+1}^2)] \\
&+ \frac{w_{i+1}^2}{W_n}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[(DV(\delta_i, \bar{S}_i))^+(\bar{\mu}_{i+1} - \bar{\mu}) + (DV(\delta_i, \bar{S}_i))^-(\underline{\mu} - \underline{\mu}_{i+1})] \\
&\le \frac{1}{2}\frac{w_{i+1}^2}{W_n}(|\bar{\sigma}_{i+1}^2 - \bar{\sigma}^2| + |\underline{\sigma}^2 - \underline{\sigma}_{i+1}^2|)\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|D^2 V(\delta_i, \bar{S}_i)|] \\
&+ \frac{w_{i+1}^2}{W_n}(|\bar{\mu}_{i+1} - \bar{\mu}| + |\underline{\mu} - \underline{\mu}_{i+1}|)\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|DV(\delta_i, \bar{S}_i)|].
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.7}
$$

It is easy to check that there exist constants $C_3, C_4 > 0$, such that $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|D^2 V(\delta_i, \bar{S}_i)|] \le C_3$ and $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|DV(\delta_i, \bar{S}_i)|] \le C_4$. Then we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} A_i] &\le \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[A_i] \\
&\le \frac{C_3}{2}\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\frac{w_{i+1}^2}{W_n}(|\bar{\sigma}_{i+1}^2 - \bar{\sigma}^2| + |\underline{\sigma}^2 - \underline{\sigma}_{i+1}^2|) \\
&+ C_4\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\frac{w_{i+1}^2}{W_n}(|\bar{\mu}_{i+1} - \bar{\mu}| + |\underline{\mu} - \underline{\mu}_{i+1}|).
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.8}
$$

By (5) in Theorem 3.1, we have

$$
\lim_{n\to\infty}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} A_i] \le 0. \tag{3.9}
$$

Similarly, we also have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} A_i] \geq 0. \tag{3.10}$$

Thus

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} A_i] = 0. \tag{3.11}$$

For B_i, since both $\partial_t V$ and $D^2 V$ are uniformly $\frac{\alpha}{2}$-hölder continuous in t and α-hölder continuous in x on $[0,1] \times R^d$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|B_i|] &\leq C_5(\frac{w_{i+1}^2}{W_n})^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}} + C_6 \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|X_{i+1}\frac{w_{i+1}}{\sqrt{W_n}} + \frac{w_{i+1}^2}{W_n} Y_{i+1}|^{\alpha}]\frac{w_{i+1}^2}{W_n} \\
&\quad + C_7 \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|X_{i+1}\frac{w_{i+1}}{\sqrt{W_n}} + \frac{w_{i+1}^2}{W_n} Y_{i+1}|^{2+\alpha}] \\
&\leq C_8 \frac{w_{i+1}^{2+\alpha}}{W_n^{1+\alpha/2}}(1 + \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|X_{i+1}|^{\alpha}] + \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|X_{i+1}|^{2+\alpha} + \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|Y_{i+1}|^{\alpha}] + \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|Y_{i+1}|^{2+\alpha}]) \\
&\leq C_9 \frac{w_{i+1}^{2+\alpha}}{W_n^{1+\alpha/2}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Thus

$$-C_9 \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} w_{i+1}^{2+\alpha}}{W_n^{1+\alpha/2}} \leq \hat{\mathbb{E}}[V(1, \bar{S}_n)] - V(0,0) \leq C_9 \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} w_{i+1}^{2+\alpha}}{W_n^{1+\alpha/2}}. \tag{3.13}$$

By the condition (4) in Theorem 3.1, as $n \to \infty$, we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \hat{\mathbb{E}}[V(1, \bar{S}_n)] = V(0,0). \tag{3.14}$$

It follows from (3.2)-(3.4) and (3.14) that

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} |\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\varphi(\bar{S}_n)] - \hat{\mathbb{E}}[\varphi(X+\eta)]| \leq C_{10}(h + \sqrt{h}). \tag{3.15}$$

Since h can be arbitrarily small, we have,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \hat{\mathbb{E}}[\varphi(\bar{S}_n)] = \hat{\mathbb{E}}[\varphi(X+\eta)].$$

The proof is complete.

From the Theorem 3.1, we can obtain the following corollaries:

Corollary 3.1. Let $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of R^d- valued random vectors on a sublinear expectation space $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \hat{\mathbb{E}})$ satisfying the following conditions:

(1) X_{i+1} is independent from $(X_1, \cdots, X_i)$ for each $i = 1, 2, \cdots$;

(2) $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[X_i] = \hat{\mathbb{E}}[-X_i] = 0,\ \hat{\mathbb{E}}[X_i^2] = \bar{\sigma}_i^2,\ -\hat{\mathbb{E}}[-X_i^2] = \underline{\sigma}_i^2$, where $0 \leq \underline{\sigma}_i \leq \bar{\sigma}_i < \infty$;

(3) $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|X_i|^{2+\alpha}] < \infty$ for every $\alpha \in (0,1)$.
(4) Let $\{w_i, i \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of reals satisfying

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\sum\limits_{i=0}^{n-1} w_{i+1}^{2+\alpha}}{W_n^{1+\alpha/2}} = 0,$$

where $W_n = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} w_i^2$.
(5) There are constants $\bar{\sigma} \in (R^+)^d, \underline{\sigma} \in (R^+)^d$ such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{w_{i+1}^2}{W_n}(|\bar{\sigma}_{i+1}^2 - \bar{\sigma}^2| + |\underline{\sigma}^2 - \underline{\sigma}_{i+1}^2|) = 0.$$

Then for every test function $\varphi \in C_{b,lip}(R^d)$, we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \hat{\mathbb{E}}[\varphi(\bar{S}_n)] = \hat{\mathbb{E}}[\varphi(X)],$$

where $\{\bar{S}_n\}$ is defined by

$$\bar{S}_n := \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{w_i X_i}{\sqrt{W_n}},$$

and X is a G-normal distributed random vector and the corresponding sublinear function $G : S(d) \to R$ is defined by

$$G(A) := \frac{1}{2}(\langle A^+\bar{\sigma}, \bar{\sigma}\rangle - \langle A^-\underline{\sigma}, \underline{\sigma}\rangle), \quad A \in S(d).$$

Remark 3.1. Let $w_i \equiv 1, i = 1, \cdots$, and $d = 1$ in Corollary 3.1, then it is the main result of Li and Shi (see [10]).

Corollary 3.2. Let $\{(X_i, Y_i)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of $R^d \times R^d$- valued random vectors on a sublinear expectation space $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \hat{\mathbb{E}})$ satisfying the following conditions:
(1) $(X_{i+1}, Y_{i+1}) \overset{d}{=} (X_i, Y_i)$ for each $i = 1, 2, \cdots$;
(2) (X_{i+1}, Y_{i+1}) is independent from $\{(X_1, Y_1), \cdots, (X_i, Y_i)\}$ for each $i = 1, 2, \cdots$;
(3) $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[X_1] = \hat{\mathbb{E}}[-X_1] = 0,\ \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|X_1|^{2+\alpha}] + \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|Y_1|^{2+\alpha}] < \infty$ for every $\alpha \in (0,1)$.
(4) Let $\{w_i, i \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of reals satisfying

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\sum\limits_{i=0}^{n-1} w_{i+1}^{2+\alpha}}{W_n^{1+\alpha/2}} = 0,$$

where $W_n = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} w_i^2$.
Then for every test function $\varphi \in C_{b,lip}(R^d)$, we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \hat{\mathbb{E}}[\varphi(\bar{S}_n)] = \hat{\mathbb{E}}[\varphi(X + \eta)],$$

where $\{\bar{S}_n\}$ is defined by

$$\bar{S}_n := \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\frac{w_i X_i}{\sqrt{W_n}} + \frac{w_i^2 Y_i}{W_n}),$$

and the pair (X, η) is G-distributed and the corresponding sublinear function $G : R^d \times S(d) \to R$ is defined by

$$G(P, A) := \hat{\mathbb{E}}[\frac{1}{2}\langle AX_1, X_1\rangle + \langle P, Y_1\rangle], \quad P \in R^d, A \in S(d).$$

Remark 3.2. Let $w_i \equiv 1, i = 1, \cdots$, in Corollary 3.2, we can get the result of Peng (see [14]).
Remark 3.3. Let $w_i \equiv 1, i = 1, \cdots$, in Corollary 3.2, we can get the result of Peng (see [14]).
Corollary 3.3. Let $\{Y_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of R^d- valued random vectors on a sublinear expectation space $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \hat{\mathbb{E}})$ satisfying the following conditions:
(1) Y_{i+1} is independent from $\{Y_1, \cdots, Y_i\}$ for each $i = 1, 2, \cdots$;
(2) $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[Y_i] = \bar{\mu}_i,\ -\hat{\mathbb{E}}[-Y_i] = \underline{\mu}_i,\ \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|Y_i|^{2+\alpha}] < \infty$ for every $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.
(3) We assume that there exist $\bar{\mu}$ and $\underline{\mu}$ such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |\bar{\mu} - \bar{\mu}_{i+1}| = 0,$$

and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |\underline{\mu}_{i+1} - \underline{\mu}| = 0.$$

Then for every test function $\varphi \in C_{b,lip}(R^d)$, we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \hat{\mathbb{E}}[\varphi(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i}{n})] = \hat{\mathbb{E}}[\varphi(\eta)],$$

where η is maximal distribution. The corresponding sublinear function $G : R^d \to R$ is defined by

$$G(P) := \langle P^+, \bar{\mu}\rangle - \langle P^-, \underline{\mu}\rangle, \quad P \in R^d.$$

Remark 3.4. If $\bar{\mu}_i = \bar{\mu}$ and $\underline{\mu}_i = \underline{\mu}$ for all $1 \le i \le n$, then it is the law of large numbers under sublinear space (see Peng [14]).

Acknowledgements

The author thanks Prof. Zengjing Chen for helpful discussion and valuable suggestions.

The author thanks the partial support from The National Basic Research Program of China (973 Program)(No. 2007CB814901).

References

[1] Bai, X., Buckdahn, R., 2010. Inf-convolution of G-expectations. Sci. China Ser. A 53, 1957 -1970.

[2] Bayraktar, E., Yao, S., 2010. Optimal stopping for non-linear expectations - Part I. Stoch. Proc. Appl. 121, 185-211.

[3] Bayraktar, E., Yao, S., 2010. Optimal stopping for non-linear expectations - Part II. Stoch. Proc. Appl. 121, 212-264.

[4] Chow, Y., Lai, T., 1973. Limiting Behavior of Weighted Sums of Independent Random Variables. Ann. Probab. 1, 810-824.

[5] Denis, L., Hu, M., Peng, S., 2010. Function spaces and capacity related to a sublinear expectation: application to G-Brownian motion paths. Potential Anal., 2010, doi:10.1007/s11118-010-9185-x.

[6] Gao, F., 2009. Pathwise properties and homeomorphic flows for stochastic differential equations driven by G-Brownian motion. Stoch. Proc. Appl. 119, 3356 -3382.

[7] Gao, F., Jiang, H., 2010. Large deviations for stochastic differential equations driven by G-Brownian motion. Stoch. Proc. Appl. 120, 2212-2240.

[8] Hu, F., Zhang, D., 2010. Central limit theorem for capacities. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 348, 1111-1114.

[9] Jia, G., 2009. The minimal sublinear expectations and their related properties. Sci. China Ser. A 52, 785 -793.

[10] Li, M., Shi, Y., 2010. A general central limit theorem under sublinear expectations. Sci. China Ser. A 53, 1989 -1994.

[11] Nutz, M., 2010. Random G-Expectations. arXiv:1009.2168v1, 2010

[12] Peng, S., 2006. G-Expectation, G-Brownian motion and related stochastic calculus of Itô's type. In: (Benth F E, et al. eds.) Stochastic Analysis and Applications, Proceedings of the Second Abel Symposium, 2005. New York: Springer-Verlag, 2006, 541 -567

[13] Peng, S., 2007. G-Brownian Motion and Dynamic Risk Measure under Volatility Uncertainty. arXiv:0711.2834v1, 2007.

[14] Peng, S., 2008. A New Central Limit Theorem under Sublinear Expectations. arXiv:0803.2656vl, 2008.

[15] Peng, S., 2008. Multi-dimensional G-Brownian motion and related stochastic calculus under G-Expectation. Stoch. Proc. Appl. 118, 2223 -2253

[16] Peng, S., 2009. Survey on normal distributions, central limit theorem, Brownian motion and the related stochastic calculus under sublinear expectations. Sci. China Ser. A 52, 1391 -1411.

[17] Peng, S., 2010. Nonlinear expectations and stochastic calculus under uncertainty - with robust central limit theorem and G-Brownian motion. arXiv:1002.4546v1, 2010.

[18] Soner, H., Touzi, N. and Zhang, J., 2010. Martingale representation theorem for the G-expectation. arXiv:1001.3802v2, 2010.

[19] Song, Y., 2010. Some properties on G-evalution and its applications to G-martingale decomposition. arXiv:1001.2802v2, 2010.

[20] Song, Y., 2010. Properties of hitting times for G-martingale. arXiv:1001.4907v2, 2010.

[21] Xu, J., Zhang, B., 2009. Martingale characterization of G-Brownian motion. Stoch. Proc. Appl. 119, 232 -248.